	Case 2:20-cv-00553-TLN-KJN Documer	nt 51 Filed 08/06/21	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	JAVANCE ROSS PAYNE,	No. 2:20-cv-00553	3-TLN-KJN
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	ORDER	
14	BASER, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Plaintiff Javance Ross Payne ("Plaintiff"), a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this		
18	civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United		
19	States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
20	On April 2, 2021, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which		
21	were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the		
22	findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. (ECF No. 47.) Plaintiff has		
23	filed objections to the findings and recommendations. (ECF No. 50.)		
24	Plaintiff seems to suggest that he did not receive the "proper assistance" when filing his		
25	complaints and appeals in light of his learning, hearing, and cognitive disabilities, and therefore		
26	administrative remedies were "technically unavailable" to him. (See id.) Plaintiff argues,		
27	therefore, that Defendants C. Baser and Crisanto (collectively, "Defendants") are not entitled to		
28	summary judgment. (See id.) As the magistrate judge noted in the findings and		
	1		

Case 2:20-cv-00553-TLN-KJN Document 51 Filed 08/06/21 Page 2 of 2 recommendations, Plaintiff still does not cite to any legal authority in his objections to support the assertion that these conditions, standing alone, make administrative remedies unavailable to Plaintiff. The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). The Court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The Findings and Recommendations filed April 2, 2021 (ECF No. 47), are ADOPTED IN FULL; and 2. Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 36) is GRANTED. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: August 4, 2021 Troy L. Nunley United States District Judge